

Scenario definition for modelling of the European energy system

Dissemination level: PU - Public Hystories deliverable D5.1 Date: 31. March 2021







Deliverable D5.1 31. March 2021

© European Union, 2021.

No third-party textual or artistic material is included in the publication without the copyright holder's prior consent to further dissemination by other third parties.

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

Disclaimer: The information and views set out in this report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Union. Neither the European Union institutions and bodies nor any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein



Authors:

Jan MICHALSKI¹, Ulrich BÜNGER¹, Jan ZERHUSEN¹

¹Ludwig-Bölkow-Systemtechnik GmbH, Germany

Revision History

Revision	Revision date	Summary of changes	
0	31. March 2021	Initial version	

Checked by:

Name	Institute	Date
Ulrich BÜNGER	Ludwig-Bölkow- Systemtechnik GmbH	30.03.2021

Approved by:

Name	Institute	Date	
Jan MICHALSKI WP5 Leader	Ludwig-Bölkow- Systemtechnik GmbH	30.03.2021	
Arnaud REVEILLERE Project Coordinator	Geostock	31.03.2021	





TABLE OF CONTENT

1.	Introduction and Work Package overview	7
2.	Scenario definition	9
3.	Abbreviations 1	1
4.	References 1	2





1. Introduction and Work Package overview

The major objective of Work Package (WP) 5 "Modelling of the European energy system" is to investigate the role of a widespread deployment of underground renewable hydrogen storage in the European Union (EU) and the United Kingdom (UK) in future scenarios across the period 2025-2050. It is based on existing scenarios and roadmaps as well on the consortium's expertise from previous projects and studies notably conducted for the EU and Fuel Cell and Hydrogen Joint Undertaking (FCH JU).

In this context, WP5 will model and analyse optimal design and operation of different elements of the future energy system including renewable electricity supply, production of renewable hydrogen, associated gas compression and hydrogen pipeline networks to transfer hydrogen to/from the storage. As an output the model will identify how to match renewable supply with energy demand at all times by appropriately sizing and operating the technologies involved in producing, storing, distributing and using renewable hydrogen. Moreover, the outcome of the modelling exercise will allow for mapping of the proximity of suitable underground stores with existing and future onshore and offshore wind/solar farms at country level.

The work package includes 6 tasks corresponding to steps of the proposed analysis of the future energy system in Europe (see Figure 1):

- Task 5.1: Definition of future scenarios for a widespread deployment of underground renewable hydrogen storage;
- Task 5.2: Pre-analysis of expected techno-economic requirements for underground renewable hydrogen storage to provide a valuable input for the screening criteria (e.g. orders of magnitude of the cycling frequency and of the capacity of the H₂ storage demand) as well as assessment of sites and technologies in other Work Packages;
- Task 5.3: Adaptation of the model of the integrated energy system in Europe to capture the defined scenarios and the characteristics of the technologies of renewable hydrogen underground storage;
- **Task 5.4:** Collection of relevant input data for scenario calculations to conduct quantitative analysis of the future energy system;
- Task 5.5: Techno-economic assessment of future scenarios for a widespread deployment of underground renewable hydrogen storage with major results from the modelling exercise;
- **Task 5.6:** Sensitivity analysis to test the robustness of the modelling results in respect to predefined input parameters.



Preparation	Actual analysis
Task 5.1: Scenario definition	Task 5.2: Requirements for H ₂ storage
 Up to 4 different scenarios based on relevant criteria (e.g. GHG emission reduction targets; end user preferences technologies; H₂ imports; infrastructure development) 	 Initial dataset for the needs for seasonal H₂ storage First identification of the profiles, cycles and energy amounts
 Based on existing strategies, scenarios and roadmaps 	
Task 5.3: Model adaptation	Task 5.5:Techno-economic assessment
 Adaptation of the energy system model to capture defined scenarios and technology characteristics 	 Actual techno-economic assessment of future scenarios Overall system costs, storage value, CO₂ emissions,
 Different H₂ storage types, H₂ imports other low-carbon H₂ production 	optimal technology portfolio, optimal unit commitment, RES curtailment, H ₂ technology utilization & sizing, flows and cost of gas network
Task 5.4: Input data collection	Task 5.6: Sensitivity analysis
 Relevant data for detailed modelling of the energy system 	 Robustness test of the modelling results
 Boundary conditions, energy demand, region-specific data, power and gas network topology, hourly time profiles 	 Variation of up to 5 input parameters for selected scenarios and output indicators

Figure 1: Structure of Work Package 5 including 6 tasks corresponding to steps of the proposed analysis



2. Scenario definition

Task 5.1 presented in this report lays the foundation for the in-depth techno-economic assessment by describing future scenarios for the deployment of underground renewable hydrogen storage. Based on existing strategies, scenarios and roadmaps for the EU and general objectives of the Hystories project the scenario definition in this Task takes following relevant criteria into account:

- Hydrogen production pathways: since the future hydrogen supply pathways are still under discussion and are not clearly defined in the existing strategies, scenarios and roadmaps we will differentiate between domestic hydrogen production and imports from different non-EU regions.
- Hydrogen storage technologies: next to salt caverns and other aboveground H₂ storage possibilities, which have been already analysed in more detail in other projects and studies, we will explicitly model and investigate the impact of hydrogen storage in porous media (i.e. depleted fields and aquifers) on the European energy system.
- Spatial distribution across Europe: depending on technology availability the facilities for underground hydrogen storage and/or H₂ production sites can be distributed across Europe in different ways (e.g. more centralized or more decentralized).

Referring to the time frames mentioned by the EU hydrogen strategy (COM (2020) 301 final)¹ the analysis will be conducted for the time horizons 2025, 2030 and 2050. In order to ensure comparability of the scenarios we will assume same greenhouse gas (GHG) reduction targets for EU-27 in comparison to 1990 levels, i.e. -37.5% until 2025, -55% until 2030 and climate neutrality until 2050. Similar, the expected preferences for end user technologies and thus the hydrogen demand levels will remain unchanged for all scenarios. Moreover, for the sake of simplicity, only power and hydrogen infrastructures will be considered in order to focus on major objectives of the Hystories project.²

In this context we define following four scenarios for further analyses based on the abovementioned criteria and assumptions:

Scenario A is used as a basis for comparison with other scenarios. Hydrogen production is mainly produced domestically and stored either in salt caverns or in aboveground facilities. Underground hydrogen storage in porous media is not available in this scenario. Due to limited availability of favourable geological conditions across Europe the large-scale underground hydrogen storage in salt caverns occurs only in selected countries and is hence more centralized from the

² Note that all other input data and assumptions will be described in more detail in Deliverable D5.4 "Assumptions and input parameters for modelling of the European energy system".



¹ COM (2020) 301 final : Communication From The Commission To The European Parliament, The Council, The European Economic And Social Committee And The Committee Of The Regions - A hydrogen strategy for a climate-neutral Europe. Brussels, 8.7.2020.

European perspective (HyUnder, 2014). Nevertheless, the domestic hydrogen production is distributed across EU-27 and the UK more equally.

- Scenario B is similar to Scenario A but with a broader range of hydrogen storage technologies. Next to salt caverns and aboveground facilities it takes into account explicitly underground storage in porous media (i.e. depleted fields and aquifers). Hence, both hydrogen storage and production facilities are well distributed across Europe.
- Scenario C assumes different hydrogen supply pathways in comparison to the previous scenarios. Domestic hydrogen production is moderate whereas the share of hydrogen imports from outside the EU is much larger. Salt caverns are the only option for large-scale underground storage and their location is again limited by the availability of suitable geological conditions. In this way we assume large hydrogen storage hubs in central Europe.
- Scenario D is characterized by similar production pathways (more imports, less domestic production) as in Scenario C. However, it takes into account all hydrogen storage technologies including salt caverns, depleted fields, aquifers and aboveground facilities which are widely distributed across Europe.

	Scenario A	Scenario B	Scenario C	Scenario D
General assumptions				
GHG emission reduction targets for EU-27 from 1990 levels	2025 · . 37 5% 2030 · . 55% 2050 · . 100%			
Hydrogen demand	Identical for all scenarios to ensure comparability			
Scenario differentiation				
Hydrogen production pathways: Domestic vs. imports from non-EU v	Mainly domestic, limited imports	Mainly domestic, limited imports	Moderate domestic, larger imports	Moderate domestic larger imports
Hydrogen storage technologies: Salt caverns, porous media and aboveground technologies	Salt caverns, aboveground technologies	Salt caverns, porous media, aboveground technologies	Salt caverns, aboveground technologies	Salt caverns, porous media, aboveground technologies
Spatial distribution across Europe: Centralized/distributed H ₂ production and storage	Centralized storage (where possible), distributed H ₂ production	Distributed H ₂ production and storage	Centralized storage (hubs in central Europe), distributed H ₂ production	Distributed H ₂ production and storage

Table 1: Selected scenarios for modelling of the European energy system



3. Abbreviations

- EU European Union
- FCH JU Fuel Cell and Hydrogen Joint Undertaking
- GHG Greenhouse gas
- UK United Kingdom
- WP Work Package



4. References

COM (2020) 301 final. Communication From The Commission To The European Parliament, The Council, The European Economic And Social Committee And The Committee Of The Regions - A hydrogen strategy for a climate-neutral Europe. Brussels, 8.7.2020.

HyUnder, 2014. Assessment of the Potential, the Actors and Relevant Business Cases for Large Scale and Long TermStorage of Renewable Electricity by Hydrogen Underground Storage in Europe – Exectuive Summary. 24.06.2014.





Hystories project consortium





ludwig bölkow systemtechnik











Mineral and Energy Economy Research Institute Polish Academy of Sciences

MicroPro GmbH

Microbiological Laboratories

Acknowledgment

This project has received funding from the Fuel Cells and Hydrogen 2 Joint Undertaking under grant agreement No 101007176.

This Joint Undertaking receives support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme and Hydrogen Europe and Hydrogen Europe Research

